

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 AUG 28 PM 3: 20

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE HUFF CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/28/2008
BY: [Signature] DEPUTY
CASE NO.: 08cv1456 H (CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
CASE TITLE: Davis v. City of San Diego, et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter of Notice

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	83.9	OTHER: Case closed. <i>Plaintiff must comply with Court order dated 8/14/08 [Doc No. 3] to re-open case.</i>

Date forwarded: 8/28/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Huff

Dated: 8/28/08
cc: All Parties

By: KMB, PSLL

United States District Court
Southern District of California
Office of the Clerk,
880 Front Street, Suite 4290
San Diego, California 92101-8900

Case 3:08-cv-1456-H-LSP

BRYAN DAVES SR.
Plaintiff

vs.

City of San Diego et al.,
Defendants

Letter of Notice

REJECTED

Judge: MAUREEN L. HUFF
Date: Aug. 24, 2008

YOUR HONOR I'D REQUESTED YOUR SECOND ORDER WITH THE IN FORMA PAUPERES SET, ON AUG. 22, 2008. THE FIRST MUST HAVE BEEN A TEST, BECAUSE THE OFFICIALS DIDN'T CALL ME FOR COMMITTEE THAT DAY, AUG. 21, 2008. YOUR ORDER CAME THAT NIGHT WITHOUT AN IFP FORM. I'D REQUESTED SINCE I DIDN'T TALK THE OFFICIALS ABOUT WHY I HADN'T CALL FOR COMMITTEE, THEY'LL MUST ASSUME I WAS OUT OF MINDS AND BUSINESS, LIKE THEY DO ALL THE TIME WHEN I DON'T RESPOND LIKE A DOG TO THEM, WHEN THEY DO SOMETHING DISRESPECTFULLY AND CRIMINALLY TO BRYAN DAVES SR. (BO'D) P62597*. I'D SENT THE IFP FORM TO THE MAIL ROOM + LAST OFFICE TO NIGHT AUG. 24, 2008. WHY DO BRYAN DAVES SR. (BO'D) P62597* THE PERSONAL PLAINTIFF IS HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT THE PERSON OFFICIALS WHO IS IN CONTROL OF MY MAIL WHEN IT LEAVES MY CELL. LIKE I'M HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT JUDGES: BENNETZ AND FORTNA DO CONDUCTING EVIDENCE, AFTER THEY'D ASK FOR THEM, THEN DISMISSED MY CASE WITHOUT A CHANCE OF A SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. NOW I HAVE A STRIKE, BECAUSE OF THESE CONSEQUENCES WITH CALIFORNIA STATE PERSON OFFICIALS, AND THE D.A. ... WHY ARE THERE CALIFORNIA 602, AND 42 U.S.C. 1983 ETC. IN THE INSTITUTIONS OF ALL, ETC. ... DO I HAVE THAT RIGHTS, THE RIGHT TO EXERCISE THOSE RIGHTS THAT BEEN VIOLATED, BY A COMPLAINT FORM SUCCESSFULLY WITH A HONORABLE JUDGE YOUR HONOR ... JUDGE: HUFF, WEIGH DESERT OFFICIALS IS PARTICIPANT IN THIS ONGOING CONTROVERSY AGAINST BRYAN DAVES SR. YOUR HONOR FONTANA DISTRICT ATTORNEY MS. BOBBA MACIANN AND WEIGH DESERT OFFICIALS SET UP AN ENTRAPMENT FEB. 21 OR 22, 2007 AGAINST ME AT COMMITTEE. AM I SAFE HERE AT WEIGH DESERT NO! I WAS ATTACKED BY TWO INMATES ON OCT. 4, 2007. AND STAB AT NEW FOLSOM MAR. 8, 2004 AFTER CALIFORNIA DO THAT THEY'LL DO. NOODS PASS ON ... I'M UP FOR TRANSFER TO SALINAS VALLEY IT BEEN 2 MONTHS NOW. ALL TOGETHER 5. AND I WAS UNLAWFULLY INJECTED AT COACORAN ON AUG. 30, 2005 ETC. ... MY LEG'S STILL SWOLLEN (LEFT) I HAVEN'T BEEN OUTSIDE IN 9 MONTHS, AND NOT USED THE SHOWER BECAUSE OF OFFICIALS SEXUAL HARASSMENT ETC. ... I'M IN AD 509

Bryan Daves SR. BO'D
P62597*

U.S.A. Aug. 24, 2008
UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY